```
1 | Lori D. Ballance (Bar No. 133469)
    Iballance@gdandb.com
 2 Gatzke Dillon & Ballance LLP
    2762 Gateway Road
 3 Carlsbad, California 92009
    Telephone: (760) 431-9501
 4 | Facsimile: (760) 431-9512
    Attorneys for County of Orange
    Aaron C. Harp (Bar No. 190665)
    aharp@newportbeachca.gov
    City Attorney
    100 Civic Center Drive
    Newport Beach, California 92660
 8
    Telephone: (949) 644-3131
    Facsimile: (949) 644-3139
    Attorneys for City of Newport Beach
10
    Barbara Lichman (Bar No. 138469)
11
   blichman@buchalter.com
    Buchalter Nemer
12 | 18400 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 800
    Irvine, California 92612
13 | Telephone: (949) 224-6292
   Facsimile: (949) 720-0182
14
    Attorneys for Airport Working Group of Orange County, Inc. (AWG)
15
    Steven M. Taber (Bar No. 250205)
    staber@taberlaw.com
    Taber Law Group PC
    P.O. Box 60036
17
    Irvine, California 92602
    Telephone: (949) 735-8217
18
    Facsimile: (714) 707-4282
19
    Attorneys for Stop Polluting Our Newport (SPON)
20
21
```

#### RECITALS

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1. On December 15, 1985, this United States District Court entered a final judgment ("the confirming judgment") in this action as between the County, the City, SPON, and AWG (collectively, "the settling parties") based upon a stipulation executed by their respective counsel and submitted to the Court in November 1985 ("the 1985 Stipulation").
- 2. In addition to the settling parties, the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA"), and various other parties, including various certificated commercial airlines, were also parties to this action. By a series of stipulations and a confirming order of this Court entered in 1986, the remaining claims and issues in the action were dismissed, without prejudice.
- 3. The 1985 Stipulation and the confirming judgment (which incorporated the terms of the 1985 Stipulation) contain certain provisions that are executory and binding upon, among others, the County, from the date of the Court's entry of the confirming judgment through the original term of the settlement stipulation that required it to remain in effect through December 31, 2005.

4. The term of the original settlement stipulation has been extended by the settlement parties and modified by Court Order and Judgment. The term of the current settlement stipulation requires the stipulation to remain in effect through December 31, 2030.

5. In 1997, a new noise monitoring system was installed at JWA to replace the noise monitoring system that was originally installed at JWA in 1979. Based on the results of a side-by-side comparison of noise levels as recorded by the new system and the system installed at JWA in 1979 and recommendations from the County's noise consultant, amendments were made to the 1985 Settlement Agreement in 1999 regarding permitted noise levels for regularly scheduled commercial operations. Corresponding amendments were also made to the maximum permitted noise levels in the Phase 2 Access Plan and the County's General Aviation Noise Ordinances ("GANO"). The objective of the modifications to the maximum permitted noise levels was to maintain parity with the existing noise compliance limits and to preserve operational capacity at JWA as agreed to by the settling parties in the 1985 Stipulation, as amended.

#### ADJUSTMENTS TO MAXIMUM PERMITTED NOISE LEVELS

6. In early 2015, a new noise monitoring system was installed at JWA to replace the current noise monitoring system that was originally installed at JWA in 1997. A side-by-side comparison of the noise levels recorded by the new system and the current system was conducted commencing March 1 through May 31, 2015. Based

- 7. Based upon an analysis of the side-by-side noise data, the maximum permitted noise levels would have to be modified for Class A and Class E Aircraft at noise monitoring stations ("NMS") 1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S, and 7S. The existing sections of the Phase 2 Access Plan which regulate noise levels for scheduled commercial operations are Sections 2.11 (Class A Aircraft) and 2.12 (Class E Aircraft). Those sections of the Phase 2 Access Plan are set forth in Appendix A to this Stipulation, which is incorporated by this reference and made an express part of this document.
- 8. The parties have agreed to allow the County to amend the relevant sections of the Phase 2 Access Plan (those sections quoted above) to modify the maximum

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

permitted noise levels for regularly scheduled commercial airline operations at JWA as follows, effective October 6, 2015:

### Class A Aircraft

To maintain parity with the existing noise compliance limits and to preserve existing operational capacity and ANCA grandfathered status at JWA, the maximum permitted noise levels, as measured at the Departure Monitoring Stations, must be modified from 101.8 to 102.5 dB SENEL at NMS 1S, from 101.1 to 101.8 dB SENEL at NMS 2S, from 100.7 to 101.1 dB SENEL at NMS 3S, from 94.1 to 94.8 dB SENEL at NMS 4S, from 94.6 to 95.3 SENEL at NMS 5S, from 96.1 to 96.8 dB SENEL at NMS 6S, and from 93.0 to 93.7 dB SENEL at NMS 7S.

### Class E Aircraft

In order to maintain parity with the existing noise compliance limits and to preserve existing operational capacity and ANCA grandfathered status at JWA, the maximum permitted noise levels must be modified from 93.5 to 94.1 dB SENEL at NMS 1S, from 93.0 to 93.5 dB SENEL at NMS 2S, from 89.7 to 90.3 dB SENEL at NMS 3S, from 86.0 to 86.6 dB SENEL at NMS 4S, from 86.6 to 87.2 dB SENEL at NMS 5S, from 86.6 to 87.2 at NMS 6S and from 86.0 to 86.6 at NMS 7S.

# IN LIGHT OF THESE CIRCUMSTANCES AND RECITALS, THE PARTIES TO THIS STIPULATION AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

#### **AGREEMENT**

Subject to the approval of the Court:

- 10. The noise level limitations and aircraft noise class definitions of the 1985 Stipulation, the confirming judgment, and the Phase 2 Access Plan, as modified by subsequent amendments, shall be further modified as set forth in "Appendix A" to this stipulation which is incorporated by this reference and made an express part of this document, effective October 6, 2015.
- 11. This agreement is subject to the following limitations: (a) provisions of the 1985 Stipulation and the confirming judgment, as amended, other than those provisions directly related to the permitted noise levels for regularly scheduled commercial airline operations at JWA shall continue to remain in effect and enforceable by the stipulating parties; and (b) nothing in this stipulation obligates any of the settling parties to enter into or agree to any further stipulations modifying the 1985 Stipulation, or the confirming judgment.

## Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendants, the 1 County of Orange and the Orange County Board of Supervisors 2 3 Leon J. Page County Counsel, County of Orange 4 5 Paul M. Albarian 6 **Deputy County Counsel** 7 Lori D. Ballance 8 Danielle K. Morone 9 Dated: 10 Lori D. Ballance Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and 11 Crossdefendant, the City of Newport Beach 12 Aaron C. Harp City Attorney of Newport Beach 13 14 By:\_\_\_\_\_\_Aaron C. Harp Dated: 15 16 Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and Crossdefendant, Stop Polluting Our Newport 17 (SPON) 18 Dated: Steven M. Taber 19 Steven M. Taber 20 21 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CV 85-1542 TJH (MCx)

Cash 2:85-cv-01542-TJH-G Document 243 Filed 10/28/15 Page 8 of 13 Page ID #:117

## Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendants, the 1 County of Orange and the Orange County Board of **Supervisors** 2 3 Leon J. Page County Counsel, County of Orange 4 5 Dated: 6 Deputy County Counsel 7 Lori D. Ballance 8 Danielle K. Morone 9 Dated: 10/23/15 10 Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and 11 Crossdefendant, the City of Newport Beach 12 Aaron C. Harp City Attorney of Newport Beach 13 14 Dated: By:\_\_\_\_\_\_Aaron C. Harp 15 16 Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and Crossdefendant, Stop Polluting Our Newport 17 (SPON) 18 Dated: Steven M. Taber 19 20 21 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CV 85-1542 TJH (MCx)

Case 2:85-cv-01542-TJH-G Document 243 Filed 10/28/15 Page 9 of 13 Page ID #:118

Case	2:85-cv-01542-TJH-G	Document 243	Filed 10/28/15	Page 10 of 13 Page ID #:119		
1				aintiff and Counterdefendants, the		
2			County of Orang upervisors	ge and the Orange County Board of		
		b	uper visors			
3		ī	eon J. Page			
4			_	County of Orange		
5						
53/	Dated:	B	By:	- A11 - 1		
6			Paul M. Albarian Deputy County Counsel			
7			, ,			
8			ori D. Ballance Danielle K. More			
		L	amene K. Mon	Olic		
9	Dated:	P	łv.			
10	Dateu.		Lori D.	Ballance		
11			•	fendant, Counterclaimant and the City of Newport Beach		
12			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	and only of the inputs a chain		
- 1			aron C. Harp	Normant Darak		
13			ity Attorney of	Newport Beach		
14	Dated: 10/20	//5	Hor	(1/1-		
15	Dated. 1 - 1 - 2		y. /	Aaron C. Harp		
16			•	fendant, Counterclaimant and		
17			Crossdefendant, SPON)	Stop Polluting Our Newport		
18		(,	31 (314)			
10	Dated:	S	teven M. Taber			
19						
20		В	By:Stavan	M. Tahar		
21	Steven M. Taber					
	6					
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CV 85-1542 TJH (MCx)					

## Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendants, the 1 County of Orange and the Orange County Board of 2 **Supervisors** 3 Leon J. Page County Counsel, County of Orange 4 5 Paul M. Albarian Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ 6 **Deputy County Counsel** 7 Lori D. Ballance 8 Danielle K. Morone 9 By:\_\_\_\_\_\_Lori D. Ballance Dated: 10 Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and 11 Crossdefendant, the City of Newport Beach 12 Aaron C. Harp City Attorney of Newport Beach 13 14 By:\_\_\_\_\_\_Aaron C. Harp Dated: 15 16 Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and Crossdefendant, Stop Polluting Our Newport 17 (SPON) 18 Dated: October 27, 2015 Steven M. Taber 19 20 Steven M Taber 21 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CV 85-1542 TJH (MCx)

Cast 2:85-cv-01542-TJH-G Document 243 Filed 10/28/15 Page 11 of 13 Page ID #:120

Case	2:85-cv-01542-TJH-G	Document 243 Filed	10/28/15 Page 12 of 13 Page ID #:121
1			ys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and
2	, ,		efendant, Airport Working Group (AWG)
3	Dated: <u>10 [9]</u>	Barbara	E. Lichman
4		By:	Bachaca E, Justier
5		-,- <u>-</u> -	Barbara E. Lichman
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	8		
-1			7
	STIPULATION AND [PRO	POSED] ORDER	CASE No. CV 85-1542 TJH (MCx)

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** The undersigned, counsel for COUNTY OF ORANGE, hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the attached document was made available for viewing and downloading through the CM-ECF (Electronic Case Filing) system to all counsel of record who are registered to receive a Notice of Electronic Filing for this case. Executed on October 28, 2015 /s/ Lori D. Ballance Lori D. Ballance

## United States District Court Central District of California Western Division

COUNTY OF ORANGE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

AIR CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Respondents.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

CV 85-01542 TJH (MCx)

Order

Based on the Tenth Supplemental Stipulation by the County of Orange, the City of Newport Beach, Stop Polluting Our Newport, and the Airport Working Group of Orange County, Inc. amending the terms and conditions of the previous stipulations in this case and requesting a modification of the executory judgment,

It is Ordered that the noise level limitations and aircraft noise class definitions of the 1985 Stipulation, the confirming judgment, and the Phase 2 Access Plan, as modified by subsequent amendments, shall be further modified, effective October 6, 2015, as set forth in "Appendix A" to the Tenth Supplemental Stipulation, which is incorporated by this reference and made an express part of this Order.

It is further Ordered that the provisions of the 1985 Stipulation and the as amended, other than those provisions directly related to the permitted noise levels for regularly scheduled commercial airline operations at John Wayne Airport, shall continue to remain in effect and enforceable by the stipulating parties to the Tenth Supplemental Stipulation. confirming judgment,

2

က

4

വ

ဖ

1

 $\infty$ 

თ

10

It is further Ordered that nothing in the Tenth Supplemental Stipulation obligates any of the settling parties to enter into or agree to any further stipulations modifying the 1985 Stipulation, or the confirming judgment.

Date: November 2, 2015

7

13

12

14

15

16

17

23

24

22

20

21

26

27

25

Erry J. Haller, Fr. Senior United States District Judge